should meet its constitutional responsibilities to protect our national security and to protect our Nation against all enemies, foreign and domestic.

The American people need to know whether Russian creditors or their intermediaries are helping prop up the Trump commercial empire. This Congress needs to do its job, conduct hearings, subpoena witnesses, and bring truth to the American people about the Trump administration's ties to Russia.

HONORING DR. THOMAS FREEMAN OF TEXAS SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY

(Ms. JACKSON LEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, this is a commemoration of African American History Month. It is a vital month. It is a month that tells America's history.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to salute a distinguished American, Dr. Thomas Freeman, who has been a faculty member at Texas Southern University now for more than half a century. Dr. Freeman is the leading orator of the university, the leading storyteller.

He is the instructor of the Honorable Barbara Jordan, my predecessor. He taught her the skills to be able to sit before the Judiciary Committee during the impeachment of Richard Milhous Nixon and say, "We, the people."

He is the individual that has taught and tutored decades of students—tens upon tens—from a school that is a historically Black school and called and taught his students to be successful in debates around the world.

He is a history maker. He is now close to 100 years old. He is deserving of honor and tradition.

I close, Mr. Speaker, by saying, in our community, he is the tiller and the holder of the values of the Constitution. I know that he deserves honor on this floor.

Dr. Freeman, I salute you. You deserve the honor and recognition as a great American.

CALIFORNIA WATER INFRASTRUCTURE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GALLAGHER). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2017, the gentleman from California (Mr. GARAMENDI) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Mr. Speaker, I see a couple of my colleagues have arrived and would like to speak, so I yield to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. RASKIN).

COMMITMENT TO CIVILITY

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from California (Mr. GARAMENDI) for the exemplary act of civility in allowing me and another late-arriving colleague to be part of the freshman class presentation about our collective commitment to promoting and practicing civility both within our class and within the Congress of the United States as long as we are here.

It is a great honor to be part of the freshman class of the 115th Congress. I am thrilled to make this commitment to civility—and even friendship—across the aisle with whatever Republican colleagues are willing to hang out with a liberal constitutional law professor.

Despite my great passions as a liberal and a progressive, I dedicate myself to civility for three reasons, and I think they are all consistent with my political values and beliefs:

First, I am a middle child, and so it is in my nature to try to bring people together. If you study the theory of birth order advanced by Frank Sulloway in his great book "Born to Rebel," you will find an exemplary middle child in Reverend Martin Luther King who believed in the power of love for reconciling different views in society, and you will find a theory of the effectiveness of nonviolent struggle for progress and change, a theory that doesn't try to wish away or blink away real conflict that people have but empaces conflict as the possibility for uplifting everyone in the process.

Second, I am from the great State of Maryland, one of the original middle States tucked between New England and the South. In Maryland, we have a habit of working across party lines for the common good. Many of the big bills that I introduced in the Maryland Senate I introduced with Republican friends, like my friend Senator David Brinkley. We did the medical marijuana program together. He is a fellow cancer survivor and felt very strongly about that.

I did a number of criminal justice reform measures, including abolishing mandatory minimum drug sentences, with a Republican colleague named Michael Hough in Frederick County, who lives within my congressional district.

I even introduced a bill which succeeded for fiscal transparency in government, putting up all government expenditures over \$10,000 online within 48 hours, with Congressman ALEX MOONEY from West Virginia, although then he was a State senator in Maryland who served with me in Annapolis.

Third, as a law professor, I believe that all of our ideas, passions, and feelings about politics are refined, perfected, and improved through the process of political dialogue, testing, and questioning.

So I know that our Republican colleagues make us stronger on the Democratic side of the aisle, and I hope that we make them stronger, too, that we all grow together and that we are able to improve each other's ideas, change each other's minds sometimes, and work on issues of common concern like infrastructure, which I think is a pressing problem that we can gather consensus around in this body, like the environment and the perils of climate change.

Our greatest Presidents have always called us to civility. George Washington invited Americans to place our patriotic love of liberty first above partisan and sectional feeling. Thomas Jefferson said that we are all Republicans, we are all federalists at a time of great division in the country. In his first inaugural address, President Lincoln said: "We are not enemies, but friends. We must not be enemies. Though passion may have strained, it must not break our bonds of affection."

So the bonds of affection might seem like a romantic dream given the divisions and polarization in the country today, but I do think that, if at least we start with civility and respect, maybe we will be able to attain the level of recovering the bonds of affection that should unify all of us as Americans.

The word "party" comes from the French word "partie," a part, and we have got to remember—each of us, all of us—that our party is just one small part of the whole, and we are all here to try to advance the common good.

With that, again, I want to thank the Congressman for his very gracious offer of the time.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Those are wonderful comments, and I am sure they are going to last through the entire 115th Congress because our colleague from Kentucky would like to echo many of those.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from the State of Kentucky (Mr. COMER).

Mr. COMER. Mr. Speaker, I will be brief.

Mr. Speaker, I am so glad to join with 55 other of my freshman colleagues to pledge the commitment to civility. We all took different paths to get here. We are all from different parts of the United States. We all have different backgrounds and different stories. But one thing we all did to get here in this freshman class is we campaigned hard, and we listened to our constituents. Whether our constituents were conservative or liberal, whether they lived in the city or in small towns, they all shared a frustration about Congress.

□ 1745

They shared the frustration that Congress was at gridlock and both parties fought. Many times, people filed bills, knowing they would fail, just so they could get before a TV camera and grandstand and blame the other party.

When I got to Congress, I didn't know what to expect. The first thing that we did was attend a retreat. We got to know each other. I left that retreat inspired because, Mr. Speaker, I believe that this freshman class is committed to trying to work together to accomplish things that we agree on.

There are issues that we will never agree on, but there are issues we do agree on. We do agree that we need to create an environment where every American has access to a good-paying

job. We do agree that we need to have a military that protects its citizens. There are so many issues that we agree on.

I pledge to work with this freshman class in the future to try to create a working environment in which we can put every American first and try to accomplish things to work together.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Mr. Speaker, I welcome Mr. RASKIN and others who spoke before me as they consumed their Special Order hour in a very unique way, which is not often seen here on the floor, and that is a colloquy between our Republican freshmen and Democratic freshmen.

I want to take up issues that I know were covered by many of the freshmen as they discussed their hopes and dreams about what we might actually be able to accomplish in Congress.

Let me start with a photo. This is the largest waterfall in California, and I dare say the largest waterfall in the entire United States. It is not a natural waterfall. It is actually a manmade waterfall. With all of this turbulence and enormous churning of water below, it is a failure of a manmade spillway in California. This is the Oroville Dam that has been much in the news over the last several days.

As many probably know, California suffered through a 5-year drought. As a Representative of the great Sacramento Valley of California, my Sacramento Valley and my State of California suffered mightily. That drought tore apart communities, seriously injured the economy of California, and the health of many businesses.

So we went from famine to feast, and we are suffering serious indigestion as a result of the feast of water that we presently have.

Oroville Dam was built in the 1960s and completed in 1968. This is the spill-way presumably capable of carrying well over 150,000 cubic feet of water. What you see here is the result of a failure right here in the concrete in that spillway, resulting in a massive hole in the spillway and this extraordinary churning and erosion over here on this side. This went on for some time.

The operators of the dam, the Department of Water Resources in California, said: Well, we are going to have to shut this down and take a look at the problem.

They did. And the problem was, while they were expelling 100,000-acre-feet of water, there was 200,000-acre-feet of water coming into Lake Oroville.

I am going to take a few moments to explain this and then explain how California has successfully dealt with what could have been a serious tragedy.

Oroville Dam is the highest dam in California, some 770 feet high. The concrete spillway that I just showed you the picture of the largest waterfall in the Nation—not as high as Yosemite Falls, which is over 1,200 feet—is 700 feet down here to the river. It is 770 feet, actually. Right here is where the

damage occurred. This is the emergency spillway, which was never to be used.

When this dam was built in the 1960s, they said: Well, we will build the regular spillway, but we will put this emergency spillway in here. This is a 30-foot-high concrete wall. Below it is the natural Earth and dirt of the Sierra Nevada mountains and foothills.

They shut down the spillway and 200,000 cubic feet of water comes into the reservoir. The reservoir rapidly rose to the point of where it was going over the top of the emergency spillway. Lo and behold, when you run 12,000, 15,000 cubic feet of water per second over the top of that spillway, you hit the dirt on this side and it drives down the river with incredible erosion.

This entire area was eroded. But most importantly, the erosion moved back towards the base of that 30-foothigh concrete wall, jeopardizing the integrity of that 30-foothigh barrier against millions upon millions of gallons of water stored in the reservoir.

All of this occurred on Sunday, 3 days ago. The call went out from the Department of Water Resources, Oh, my, we have a potential problem, as they observed the potential erosion against the foundation of that 30-foothigh wall. They said it is possible that that erosion could cause a catastrophic failure of the 30-foothigh wall, sending down into the river channel a 30-foot tsunami, the result of which would be a catastrophe downstream.

This might be a little hard to observe, but I am going to give it a try. Here is the dam right here. Adjacent to the dam is the town of Oroville, just downstream from the dam. Then, the Feather River continues down through my district, Marysville and Yuba City. This is all farm county up here with some significant towns like Gridley in this area, and Live Oak further down, which I represent. This area is represented by my colleague, Doug LAMALFA, who represents the northern San Joaquin Valley.

The reservoir is here. The spillway is here. There is a 30-foot wall of water cascading down the emergency spillway, hitting the river and spreading out 30 miles across the Sacramento Valley, all the way to the west side of the valley where the Sacramento River is. This red area is 100 feet deep in 1 hour. The city of Oroville faces a catastrophic event: 100 feet of water above the community within 1 hour of the breaking of that 30-foot wall on the emergency spillway.

It spreads out. Over here it is still 10 feet deep, 30 miles away. Of course, the water is going to flow down the river also. Two communities down here of 150,000 people, within 7 hours, would be facing water that would be 10 feet deep.

That is why they called for an emergency evacuation Sunday afternoon around 6 o'clock. Nearly 200,000 people left this area, all the way over to the west and all the way down some 30 miles down river, moving out to high

ground up north to Chico, up into the Sierra foothills, and down towards Sacramento.

The water continued to spill over the emergency spillway. The Department of Water Resources, seeing the erosion, reopened the gates on the main spillway and sent 100,000 cubic feet of water down the spillway, creating an incredible but not lovely waterfall.

Fortunately, the water flowing into the reservoir very quickly diminished, from a couple hundred thousand acrefeet on Saturday and early Sunday to around 40,000 acre-feet toward Sunday evening and on into Monday. So the mathematics began to work in favor of the communities and in favor of the entire region.

Slowly, the level of the lake began to recede and eventually the water no longer flowed over the top of that emergency spillway. Nonetheless, you still had 30 feet of water behind that spillway and you had the integrity of the spillway in question.

They continued to reduce the water level in the lake and, marshalling resources up here, began to find a solution to the problem. When the sun came up Monday morning, the engineers went out and said: Oh, my.

There were four specific areas of serious erosion against the base and the foundation of that 30-foot wall with 30 feet of water still behind it. They decided to take emergency action to bring in by helicopter 100,000-pound bags of rock to stack in those four eroded areas.

Downstream, the communities of Marysville, Yuba City, Gridley, Live Oak, and other small communities were literally ghost towns. People were sheltering in various churches, fairgrounds to the north, fairgrounds to the west, east, and south. Nearly 200,000 people had moved out.

As this water receded and the emergency response began to take hold, people looked at this situation and said: Maybe this was the great would have, could have, and should have—would have, could have, should have.

Maybe when the dam was built, a concrete apron should have been built on the downside of that emergency spillway.

Maybe in 2005, when this entire project went back for re-licensing by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the call by the environmental community to concrete the down slope should have been taken into account and should have been done in 2006, 2007, but they decided it wasn't necessary or it was too expensive or whatever reasons, and so it was not done.

It will be done now. The cost of repair to the main spillway and to the emergency spillway will probably be over \$200 million.

So the question arises for all of us: Do we want to wait until there is a disaster to take cautionary steps to put it back together, or do we want to get ahead of these potential disasters?

It is a question for all of us here. It is a question for the Congress and the

Senate and the President. It is called infrastructure.

You heard some of our colleagues earlier on from the freshman class talk about their desire for infrastructure improvements. Here is a prime example. Unfortunately, not the only example, but I want to share with you what actually is happening down river by the communities of Marysville and Sutter County.

There are 70 miles of river downstream from this point that has been in the process of significant levee improvement. Some \$700 million has been spent over the last 5 to 6 years by the community, by the State of California. and by the Federal Government to bring the levee on the west side of the Feather River to a 200-year status. It is nearly completed, but not completely completed. There is another piece to be done even as this flood event takes place.

But a community stepped forward. It is called SBFCA. The Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency has undertaken that work-good for them-in the city of Marysville, which is a community surrounded on all sides by 20-, 30-foothigh levees. The Feather River and the Yuba River come together at that point at Marysville, a community that has seen catastrophic flooding in the past.

□ 1800

That community, too, together with the State of California and the Federal Government, Army Corps of Engineers, and the Yuba County Water Agency have been in the process of rebuilding and enhancing the levees around that community. These are positive exam-

Further down, the State of California has put together a flood control program for the entire Central Valley, from Mt. Shasta on the north all the way to the Tehachapi Mountains on the south, an extraordinary 600-, almost 700-mile stretch of the most fertile land in the world and major communities like Sacramento, with millions of people at risk of flooding. Different communities putting together their own flood control programs, reaching out to the Federal Government over the years, providing Federal assistance together with the State assistance to control the flooding that has been historic in California.

We need to continue this. We are not nearly finished yet in California. We are going to spend the \$200 million here, and this will be concrete in the years ahead, and this main flood control system will be rebuilt.

But this problem is not just floods. We have seen the flood of Katrina in Mississippi where we discovered that, oh, my, the levees really could not handle a major hurricane. I will share a story of my own. When I was deputy secretary at the Department of the Interior, we were studying major storms, what would happen in a period of climate change, would we see stronger hurricanes. This was in the mid-1990s when I was there as number two in the Department of the Interior. We anticipated a major hurricane coming across from Cuba into the Gulf area and hitting New Orleans. We were so concerned about this that Secretary Babbitt said: John, I want you to go down to New Orleans. I want you to talk to the local officials down there.

I remember sitting in the editorial office of the newspaper, The Times-Picayune, sitting there telling them, showing them the map and saying: Here is what we believe could happen. and we, the Federal Government, together with the community, need to enhance your levees.

A decade or so later, I was sitting in California. I looked at the television set, and I said: Oh, my God, it is precisely what we predicted in the mid-1990s, and it came to pass. These are the lessons of history.

Here is another lesson of history. This is the Interstate 5 Bridge, the last bridge before you get from the United States to Canada. Interstate 5 goes from the Mexican border all the way through California, through Oregon, Washington, and then into Vancouver. British Columbia. This is a bridge that collapsed.

How many other bridges have we seen collapse? We have seen the bridge collapse in Minnesota, and people died. We have seen rail bridges collapse. In California this last week, the main Union Pacific Railroad going north and south between Sacramento-well, all of northern California-way down to over southern California the Tehachapis, the Union Pacific Railroad Bridge just south of Sacramento collapsed. The rail cars, at least a day ago, were still sitting there in the water as they were busily trying to repair that rail bridge. A good third of all the bridges in the United States do not meet safety standards and are subject to collapse, and in some cases deadly collapse.

As we go through all of this, we need to be aware of the extraordinary need that our Nation has for infrastructure improvements. I think some of us remember the comments of our former Vice President Biden when he landed in LaGuardia, New York, and made a comment about that facility. I won't repeat it here because I am sure my New York friends might find that to be somewhat degrading. But it was a comment that was well deserved about the quality of that airport. The unfortunate part is that that is repeated in airport after airport around the United States: inadequate, old, not up to standards, and very poor in providing the efficient transportation that we reanire

We can go on and on. We can talk about the highway system. The Department of Transportation estimates that we need over \$836 billion just to maintain and bring up to standards the American highway system, both highways and bridges.

The public transportation system has a \$90 billion backlog for public transit for the state of good repair. This isn't expansion. This is just to have good repair for what we need in our transit systems

We can go on and on. Bridges, \$20 billion. As I said, one in three of the bridges in the Nation—it is actually one in four—are structurally deficient and functionally obsolete. Sixty-five percent of our Nation's roads are in less than good condition. Our rail and bus transit systems face a \$90 billion backlog, as I just said.

The 59 busiest ports in the United States only operate at 35 percent of capacity because the channels are filled with silt, and modern ships are unable

to enter those ports.

The FAA, the Federal Aviation Administration, has identified a need for \$32 billion for improvement of our airports. It goes on and on and on.

America does not want to face this kind of devastation, with the failure of dams. I don't have the exact number of dams in the United States-I think there are some 83,000—but a good percentage of those dams are structurally deficient from many different ways. Obviously, Oroville Dam was one of them. It didn't have a sufficient spillway to handle the extraordinary flows of the river.

Another one central to California's water system is the San Luis Reservoir, a 2-million-acre-foot reservoir south of Sacramento, east of San Jose, that is central to the water supply of California, both for southern California, for the San Joaquin Valley, the farmers there, as well as for Los Angeles. The Oroville Dam is the key dam for the California water system, which supplies water to Silicon Valley, to the San Joaquin Valley, as well as to Los Angeles.

We have work to do all across this Nation, and we can do it. There is a lot of talk going on about the infrastructure program. Our new President has suggested a trillion dollar infrastructure program, somehow financed with private investment. Now, I don't know how that would work in repairing a dam such as Oroville or San Luis. I am not sure how a private investor would fit into that, but undoubtedly there are models in which there can be publicprivate partnerships. But that will not suffice.

There are programs that have been suggested by my colleague here in the House, by Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. DEFAZIO has what he calls "a penny for progress." It is a program that would provide nearly a trillion dollars of infrastructure investment for highways over a 10-year period. We would borrow the money, and then pay it off with a one-penny increase in the excise tax for gasoline and fuel as it would keep pace with inflation. A novel idea, one that probably would work if we could find the votes for it.

Over on the Senate side, the Senate minority leader, Mr. SCHUMER, has introduced a \$1 trillion package of all

types of investment in infrastructure, and it is a project that deserves our attention. It is a project that would provide significant money for highways. In his proposal, he would create 15 million jobs over the next 10 years for investment in many different kinds of infrastructure.

He has something that I have talked about here on the floor now for 7 years. We call it Make It In America, Buy America, use our tax money to buy American-made products, bring our manufacturing back. If you are going to use rebar to rebuild that spillway. then use American steel. If you are going to put a pump in this dam to drain some facility, buy an American pump. After all, it is our taxpayers' money. It is my money. It is your money. Use the Buy America principle.

He has a couple of other principles that I think are very important. He wants protections for American workers, and this is both life and health and safety protections but also wage protection, the Davis-Bacon and the prevailing wage programs, all of which I think pull up the bottom with good working wages for men and women in the construction industry. Also, make sure that there is an opportunity for minority- and women-owned businesses, and of course the environmental protection. These are kind of the principles of his program, which I happen to think are appropriate.

So what would he spend the money on? He would suggest that we spend \$210 billion repairing the roads and bridges. Now, remember, that is about one-quarter of what the Department of Transportation said is needed for the backlog, but, nonetheless, that is a good start. For roads and bridges, \$210 billion over the 10-year period. That is

1.3 million new jobs.

He would also want to spend \$110 billion for new water and sewer systems. Not bad when you talk about places like Flint, Michigan, and the contaminated water in their water supply. In our own Central Valley of California, we have numerous communities that have inadequate water and, in many cases, water that is contaminated with various chemicals, both natural and from the business environment.

Senator SCHUMER suggests that we spend \$180 billion to expand and replace our rail and bus systems. That is more than just the transit programs. I suppose that is to make sure that the Union Pacific bridges don't collapse.

He would also have \$200 billion for vital infrastructure projects. These would be the most critical, the highpriority projects across the Nation. I would suggest to the Senator, Mr. Speaker, that the Senator might consider rebuilding the spillways on the Oroville Dam.

He would also invest \$75 billion on American schools so that our schools are new and modern and meet the needs of our students, another \$70 billion on the ports. Remember, I was talking about this earlier, about the

ports that are inadequate. This feeds back to what Mr. DEFAZIO has suggested, that we have the harbor maintenance fund. These are fees that are collected on every good that arrives or every container that arrives at our ports, and that money be spent on the ports, both in the water as well as on the dock.

That money, unfortunately, is not spent just there. It winds up in the Treasury for who knows what purpose. So we would bring that money back to spend on our ports, modernizing them. Keep in mind that Panama, the new Panama Canal, has been expanded, bigger ships, deeper draft, so we need to dredge these ports, we need to build the wharves, the docks that can handle them

Senator SCHUMER would also recommend that \$100 billion be spent in energy infrastructure to meet the needs of a modern energy system that is not dependent upon coal and oil but, rather, renewable sources of all kinds. And broadband, which is exceedingly important. In my district, which stretches 200 miles up the Sacramento Valley, broadband is not available. So these are infrastructure investments that I would think all of us should agree on, that we need to build a modern infrastructure for a modern economy and a growing economy, and along the way create as many as 13 million jobs to do that, a project that would go forward over the 10-year period ahead of us.

So we have got the President suggesting a trillion dollar program, public-private partnerships, of which I suspect there are some right there, we have got Mr. DEFAZIO with a financing program for highways and transit systems and ports, and we have Senator SCHUMER on the other side with a trillion dollar program that would deal with virtually every part of the infrastructure, from broadband communications to ports, highways, bridges, and the like

So we have, I think, an opportunity here in this Congress to address a critical need for America's future, not only for the safety of Americans so that all Americans can avoid the kind of catastrophe that California came very, very close to having on Sunday, with the collapse of a 30-foot dam on Lake Oroville, creating not this, but something that would be several times bigger than this cascading down the river and inundating communities to the depth of 100 feet or more.

It doesn't have to happen. We should never be penny-wise and pound-foolish. We should never delay these infrastructure investments because we know that bridges will collapse, and along with it the transportation system.

□ 1815

We know that dams are in jeopardy. We know that our highways are filled with potholes. We know that many of our airports are ancient and, in many cases, decrepit and certainly not up to

modern safety standards and certainly passenger convenience. We know that our ports need to be dredged and new wharfs and docks built. We know that we need to have intermodal systems so that we can efficiently move cargo from the ports to the trucks, to the trains, and across the country.

We know the needs. The question for all of us is: Are we ready to meet those needs?

I would suggest to you that we can. We can do creative financing, as Mr. DEFAZIO has suggested. There is a role for public-private partnerships in all of this, as the President has suggested. There is also a place in all of this for us to make choices about how we spend the taxpayers' money.

This is one that I want to bring to the attention of Americans. We are in the process of making a choice to spend \$1 trillion over the next 20 years or so to rebuild our entire nuclear arsenal. All of it. All of our nuclear bombs, all of the ICBMs in the silos in the upper Midwest, new submarines with new intercontinental missiles with bombs on top of those missiles, new stealth bombers such as the new B-21, new cruise missiles with new bombs. All of these things. New, fast, stealthy, unobservable, extraordinarily dangerous because the rules of the old Cold War or the old nuclear standoff don't apply.

One trillion dollars for what purpose? We need to ask that question and we need to make choices. There are many other choices that we will be making here. Choices about building a \$30 billion wall rather than repairing the bridges, in this case to Canada. Choices about nuclear weapons.

Our job—your representatives here in Congress—is to make choices that are wise, choices that protect you, choices that give all of us an opportunity to have good, well-paying jobs, a modern infrastructure on which the private sector can then grow and prosper, and men and women can earn a good middle class living.

Or we can make choices on things that really do not provide any of those benefits. It is about choices. It is about being prepared for tomorrow. It is about avoiding collapsed bridges and reservoirs that might fail and send a cascade of water down upon the communities.

So I ask my colleagues to consider, to ponder the needs of your communities, and to make choices that are wise, that look to the future, and build a solid foundation that won't fail when that 30 feet of water presses up against that foundation. Choices. I hope and I pray we make wise choices.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

ISSUES OF THE DAY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2017, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GOHMERT) for 30 minutes.